

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 10/049,456 08/21/2002 Israel Raleigh Lurie Q68463 3880 **EXAMINER** 24633 04/26/2006 7590 **HOGAN & HARTSON LLP** TOWA, RENE T IP GROUP, COLUMBIA SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004 3736

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/049,456	LURIE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rene Towa	3736	

Zoror one i mig or an i pp car zero	Cxammer	Art Unit	
	Rene Towa	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS The arranged emondment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the data of filing a build	ط المسمقين منا قميد الثين	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	TE Delowy,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 23-44.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the Applicant's newly amended claims add new limitations such as "said catheter being flexible so as to be adapted to follow the conformation of an internal cavity during collection of a fluid sample from said cavity," which were not considered in a prior Office action and would thus constitute a new search; as such, since a determination of patentability cannot be made without a new search, the instant amendments will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's newly amended claims add new limitations such as "said catheter being flexible so as to be adapted to follow the conformation of an internal cavity during collection of a fluid sample from said cavity," which were not considered in a prior Office action and would thus constitute a new search; as such, since a determination of patentability cannot be made without a new search, the instant amendments will not be entered.

MAX F. HINDENBURG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2